



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/305,234	05/04/1999	GEORGE V. GUYAN	AND1P068 1833	
28164	7590 09/17/2002		•	
BRINKS HOFER GILSON & LIONE			EXAMINER	
P O BOX 103 CHICAGO, II			RIMELL, SAMUEL G	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2175	<u>. </u>
			DATE MAILED: 09/17/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Advisory Action	09/305,234	GUYAN ET AL.	10			
	Examiner	Art Unit	1			
·	Sam Rimell	2175				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED 03 September 2002 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.						
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]					
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).						
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.						
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:						
(a) ☐ they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);						
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);						
(c) ☐ they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or						
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims. NOTE:						
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	tion(s):					
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely file	d amendment			
5.☑ The a)☐ affidavit, b)☐ exhibit, or c)☒ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered berraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly			
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			and an			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:						
Claim(s) allowed:			•			
Claim(s) objected to:						
Claim(s) rejected: 1-21.						
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			1			
☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is a)☐ approved or b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)						
10. ☐ Other:		Sam Rimell Primary Examiner	,			
Patent and Trademark Office		Art Unit: 2175				







Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues that Lau ('247) does not disclose a client component. Examiner stated in the office actions of 5/9/01 and 6/3/02 that the client component was the set of structures defined by the reference numeral (300) in Lau. Applicant has not presented any arguments as to why this would not read as a client component. Applicant argues that Lau does not disclose a computer program for handling tasks. Each of claims 1, 8 and 15 state the invention is a computer program for developing software that handles tasks. Examiner maintains that the computer program developed by system of Lau can handle tasks , in particular, the task of handling insurance claims. Applicant further argues that the system of Lau does not permit the entry of user defined rules. As stated in the office actions dated 5/9/01 and 6/3/02, FIG. 5, pane 2 allows a user to define rules. The entry of the rules are performed at a user interface which is the computer (306) inside of the client component (col. 9, lines 50-53). This meets the exact requirements of claims 1 and 15, which call for the rules to be entered through the client component. Claim 8 does not define a client component. Applicant further argues that Lau does not necessarily generate a program that permits the entry of rules. However, neither the claims, nor the disclosure make any such suggestion. The function of entering rules is a function of the program which genrates software, not a function of the software which has been generated.